

AUTOMORPHISMS OF ORDER $2p$ IN BINARY SELF-DUAL EXTREMAL CODES OF LENGTH A MULTIPLE OF 24

Martino Borello

Università degli Studi di Milano Bicocca

Milano, Italy

`m.borello1@campus.unimib.it`

Wolfgang Willems

Otto-von-Guericke Universität,

Magdeburg, Germany,

`willems@ovgu.de`

Version of September 22, 2012, printed September 26, 2012

Abstract

Let C be a binary self-dual code with an automorphism g of order $2p$, where p is an odd prime, such that g^p is a fixed point free involution. If C is extremal of length a multiple of 24 all the involutions are fixed point free, except the Golay Code and eventually putative codes of length 120. Connecting module theoretical properties of a self-dual code C with coding theoretical ones of the subcode $C(g^p)$ which consists of the set of fixed points of g^p , we prove that C is a projective $\mathbb{F}_2\langle g \rangle$ -module if and only if a natural projection of $C(g^p)$ is a self-dual code. We then discuss easy to handle criteria to decide if C is projective or not. As an application we consider in the last part extremal self-dual codes of length 120, proving that their automorphism group does not contain elements of order 38 and 58.

KEY WORDS: self-dual codes, automorphism group

1 Introduction

Binary self-dual extremal codes of length a multiple of 24 are binary self-dual codes with parameters $[24m, 12m, 4m + 4]$. They are interesting for various algebraic and geometric reasons; for example, they are doubly even [14] and all codewords of a fixed given nontrivial weight support a 5-design [1]. Very few is

known about this family of codes: for $m = 1$ we have the Golay Code \mathcal{G}_{24} and for $m = 2$ there is the extended quadratic residue code XQR_{48} , but no other examples are known so far.

A classical way of approaching the study of such codes is through the investigation of their automorphism group. In this paper we focus our attention to automorphisms of order $2p$, where p is an odd prime. There are elements of this type in the automorphism group of \mathcal{G}_{24} and XQR_{48} , while it was recently proved [2] that for $m = 3$ no automorphisms of order $2p$ occur. The problem is totally open for $m > 3$. It is known [5] that for $m \notin \{1, 5\}$ the involutions are fixed point free. So we will restrict our study to those automorphisms g of order $2p$ whose p -power acts fixed point freely.

In the first part of the paper we connect module theoretical properties of a self-dual code C with coding theoretical ones of the subcode $C(g^p)$ which consists of the fixed points of g^p . More precisely, we prove in Theorem 1 that C is a projective $\mathbb{F}_2\langle g \rangle$ -module if and only if a natural projection of $C(g^p)$ is a self-dual code. In the second part, i.e. section 4, we apply these results to the case $m = 5$. In particular we prove that there are no automorphisms of order $2 \cdot 19$ and $2 \cdot 29$. All computations of the last part are carried out with MAGMA [6].

2 Preliminaries

From now on a code always means a binary linear code and K always denotes the field \mathbb{F}_2 with two elements.

Let C be a code and let $g \in \text{Aut}(C)$. We denote by

$$C(g) = \{c \in C \mid c^g = c\}$$

the subcode of C consisting of all codewords which are fixed by g . It is easy to see that a codeword $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$ is fixed by g if and only if $c_i = c_{i^g}$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, i.e., if and only if c is constant on the orbits of g .

Definition 1. For an odd prime p let $s(p)$ denote the smallest $s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p \mid 2^s - 1$. Note that $s(p)$ is the multiplicative order of 2 in \mathbb{F}_p^* .

The next lemma is a well-known fact in modular representation theory. For the basics in this theory (and only those are need in this article) the reader is referred to chapter VII of [12].

Lemma 1. Let $\nu = \frac{p-1}{s(p)}$, where p is an odd prime, and let $G = \langle g \rangle$. If g is of order $2p$ then we have.

- a) There are $1 + \nu$ irreducible KG -modules V_0, V_1, \dots, V_ν , where $V_0 = K$ (the trivial module) and $\dim V_i = s(p)$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, \nu\}$.
- b) For $i = 0, \dots, \nu$ the projective indecomposable cover W_i of V_i , called a PIM, is a nonsplit extension $W_i = \begin{smallmatrix} V_i \\ V_i \end{smallmatrix}$ of V_i by V_i . Furthermore,

$$KG = W_0 \oplus W_1 \oplus \dots \oplus W_\nu.$$

In order to understand codes with automorphisms of order $2p$ we need the following result which improves Proposition 3.1 of [13].

Proposition 1. *Let $G = \langle g \rangle$ be a cyclic group of odd prime order p .*

- a) *If $s(p)$ is even, then all irreducible KG -modules are self-dual.*
- b) *If $s(p)$ is odd, then the trivial module is the only self-dual irreducible KG -module.*

Proof. a) Let $s(p) = 2t$ and let $E = \mathbb{F}_{2^{2t}}$ be the extension field of $K = \mathbb{F}_2$ of degree $2t$. Furthermore, let W be an irreducible nontrivial KG -module. In particular, W has dimension $2t$. By Theorem 1.18 and Lemma 1.15 in Chap. VII of [12], we have

$$W \otimes_K E = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \text{Gal}(E/K)} V^\alpha \quad (1)$$

where V is an irreducible KG -module and V^α is the α -conjugate module of V . Since $p \mid (2^t + 1)(2^t - 1)$ we get $p \mid 2^t + 1$. Clearly, $\text{Gal}(E/K)$ consists of all automorphisms of the form $x \mapsto x^k$ where $0 \leq k \leq 2t - 1$.

If $V = \langle v \rangle$ then $vg = \epsilon v$ where ϵ is a nontrivial p -th root of unity in E . Since $p \mid 2^t + 1$ we obtain $\epsilon^{2^t + 1} = 1$, hence $\epsilon^{2^t} = \epsilon^{-1}$. Thus there is an $\alpha \in \text{Gal}(E/K)$ such that

$$V^* \cong V^\alpha$$

and equation (1) implies $W \cong W^*$.

b) Now let $s(p) = t$ be odd. As above the irreducible module W is self-dual if and only if $V \cong V^\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{2^t}/K)$, or equivalently if and only if $\epsilon^\alpha = \epsilon^{-1}$. Suppose that such an α exists. Then we may write $\epsilon^\alpha = \epsilon^{2^k}$ where $0 \leq k \leq t - 1$. Hence $\epsilon^{2^k} = \epsilon^{-1}$ for some $0 \leq k \leq t - 1$ and therefore $2^k \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. Now $2^{2k} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ forces $t \mid 2k$. Since t is odd we get $t \mid k \leq t - 1$, a contradiction. \square

Remark 1. According to Lemma 3.5 in [13] we have $s(p)$ even if $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ and $s(p)$ odd if $p \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$.

Remark 2. Since $KG \cong KG^*$, Lemma 1 and Proposition 1 imply the following.

- a) If $s(p)$ is even, then

$$KG = W_0 \oplus W_1 \oplus \dots \oplus W_\nu$$

with $W_i \cong W_i^*$ for all $i \in \{0, \dots, \nu\}$.

- b) If $s(p)$ is odd, then ν is even (put $\nu = 2t$) and

$$KG = W_0 \oplus W_1 \oplus \dots \oplus W_{2t}$$

with $W_0 \cong W_0^*$ and $W_i \cong W_{2i}^*$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$.

3 Automorphisms of order $2p$ in self-dual codes

Throughout this section let C be a self-dual code of length n . In particular n is even. Suppose that $g \in \text{Aut}(C)$ is of order $2p$, where p is an odd prime. Furthermore suppose that the involution $h = g^p$ acts fix point freely on the n coordinates. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $h = g^p = (1, 2)(3, 4) \dots (n-1, n)$.

We consider the maps $\pi = \pi_2 : C(h) \rightarrow K^{\frac{n}{2}}$, where

$$(c_1, c_1, c_2, c_2, \dots, c_{\frac{n}{2}}, c_{\frac{n}{2}}) \xrightarrow{\pi} (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{\frac{n}{2}}),$$

and $\phi : C \rightarrow K^{\frac{n}{2}}$, where

$$(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-1}, c_n) \xrightarrow{\phi} (c_1 + c_2, \dots, c_{n-1} + c_n).$$

According to Theorem 1 of [3] we have

$$\phi(C) \subseteq \pi(C(h)) = \phi(C)^\perp.$$

In particular,

$$\phi(C) = \pi(C(h)) = \phi(C)^\perp \quad (\text{i.e. } \pi(C(h)) \text{ is self-dual})$$

if and only if

$$\dim \pi(C(h)) = \dim C(h) = \frac{n}{4}.$$

Theorem 1. *The code C is a projective $K\langle g \rangle$ -module if and only if $\pi(C(h))$ is a self-dual code.*

Proof. First note that for an arbitrary finite group G a KG -module is projective if and only if its restriction to a Sylow 2-subgroup is projective ([12], Chap. VII, Theorem 7.14). Thus we have to consider the restriction $C|_{\langle h \rangle}$, i.e., C with the action of $\langle h \rangle$. As a $K\langle h \rangle$ -module we may write

$$C \cong \underbrace{R \oplus \dots \oplus R}_a \oplus \underbrace{K \oplus \dots \oplus K}_{\frac{n}{2} - 2a},$$

where R is the regular $K\langle h \rangle$ -module and K is the trivial one. If $\text{soc}(C)$ denotes the socle of C , i.e. the largest completely reducible $K\langle h \rangle$ -submodule of C , then

$$C(h) = \text{soc}(C) = \underbrace{K \oplus \dots \oplus K}_a \oplus \underbrace{K \oplus \dots \oplus K}_{\frac{n}{2} - 2a} \cong K^{\frac{n}{2} - a}.$$

Thus C is projective if and only if $\frac{n}{2} - 2a = 0$, hence if and only if $a = \frac{n}{4}$. This happens if and only if $\dim C(h) = \frac{n}{4}$. This is equivalent to the fact that $\pi(C(h))$ is self-dual. \square

Remark 3. If $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then $\pi(C(h)) \subseteq K^{\frac{n}{2}}$ can not be self-dual, since $\frac{n}{2}$ is odd.

Remark 4. In \mathcal{G}_{24} and XQR_{48} the subcodes fixed by fixed point free acting involutions have self-dual projections. Thus we wonder if this holds true for all extremal self-dual codes of length a multiple of 24.

Next we deduce some properties of C related to the action of the automorphism g of order $2p$. This may help to decide whether $\pi(C(h))$ is self-dual or not. For completeness we treat both cases $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

Since h acts fixed point freely, g has x $2p$ -cycles and w 2-cycles, with

$$n = 2px + 2w. \quad (2)$$

Thus, as a $K\langle g \rangle$ -module, we have the decomposition

$$K^n = \underbrace{K\langle g \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus K\langle g \rangle}_{x \text{ times}} \oplus \underbrace{K\langle h \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus K\langle h \rangle}_{w \text{ times}}.$$

Using Lemma 1 and $V_0 \cong K$, we get

$$K^n = \underbrace{\begin{matrix} V_0 & \oplus & \dots & \oplus & V_0 \\ V_0 & & & & V_0 \end{matrix}}_{x+w \text{ times}} \oplus \dots \oplus \underbrace{\begin{matrix} V_\nu & \oplus & \dots & \oplus & V_\nu \\ V_\nu & & & & V_\nu \end{matrix}}_{x \text{ times}}.$$

The action of $\langle g \rangle$ on K^n and the self-duality of C restrict the possibilities for C as a subspace of K^n .

More precisely, we have

Proposition 2. *As a $K\langle g \rangle$ -module the code C has the following structure.*

$$C = \underbrace{\begin{matrix} V_0 & \oplus & \dots & \oplus & V_0 \\ V_0 & & & & V_0 \end{matrix}}_{y_0 \text{ times}} \oplus \underbrace{V_0 \oplus \dots \oplus V_0}_{z_0 \text{ times}} \oplus \dots \\ \dots \oplus \underbrace{\begin{matrix} V_\nu & \oplus & \dots & \oplus & V_\nu \\ V_\nu & & & & V_\nu \end{matrix}}_{y_\nu \text{ times}} \oplus \underbrace{V_\nu \oplus \dots \oplus V_\nu}_{z_\nu},$$

where

- 1) $2y_0 + z_0 = x + w$,
- 2a) $2y_i + z_i = x$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, \nu\}$, if $s(p)$ is even,
- 2b) $z_i = z_{2i}$ and $y_i + y_{2i} + z_i = x$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$, if $s(p)$ is odd.

Proof. Since $C = C^\perp$ we see by a proof similar to that of Proposition 2.3 in [15] that $K^n/C \cong C^*$. The conditions on the multiplicities are an easy consequence of this fact. Let us prove, for example, part 2b): if

$$C = \dots \oplus \underbrace{\begin{matrix} V_i \\ V_i \end{matrix} \oplus \dots \oplus \begin{matrix} V_i \\ V_i \end{matrix}}_{y_i \text{ times}} \oplus \underbrace{V_i \oplus \dots \oplus V_i}_{z_i \text{ times}} \oplus \dots$$

$$\dots \oplus \underbrace{\begin{matrix} V_{2i} \\ V_{2i} \end{matrix} \oplus \dots \oplus \begin{matrix} V_{2i} \\ V_{2i} \end{matrix}}_{y_{2i} \text{ times}} \oplus \underbrace{V_{2i} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{2i}}_{z_{2i}} \oplus \dots,$$

then

$$K^n/C = \dots \oplus \underbrace{\begin{matrix} V_i \\ V_i \end{matrix} \oplus \dots \oplus \begin{matrix} V_i \\ V_i \end{matrix}}_{x-z_i-y_i \text{ times}} \oplus \underbrace{V_i \oplus \dots \oplus V_i}_{z_i \text{ times}} \oplus \dots$$

$$\dots \oplus \underbrace{\begin{matrix} V_{2i} \\ V_{2i} \end{matrix} \oplus \dots \oplus \begin{matrix} V_{2i} \\ V_{2i} \end{matrix}}_{x-z_{2i}-y_{2i} \text{ times}} \oplus \underbrace{V_{2i} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{2i}}_{z_{2i}} \oplus \dots$$

and since $V_i \cong V_{2i}^*$,

$$C^* = \dots \oplus \underbrace{\begin{matrix} V_{2i} \\ V_{2i} \end{matrix} \oplus \dots \oplus \begin{matrix} V_{2i} \\ V_{2i} \end{matrix}}_{y_i \text{ times}} \oplus \underbrace{V_{2i} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{2i}}_{z_i \text{ times}} \oplus \dots$$

$$\dots \oplus \underbrace{\begin{matrix} V_i \\ V_i \end{matrix} \oplus \dots \oplus \begin{matrix} V_i \\ V_i \end{matrix}}_{y_{2i} \text{ times}} \oplus \underbrace{V_i \oplus \dots \oplus V_i}_{z_{2i}} \oplus \dots$$

Thus $z_i = z_{2i}$ and $x - z_i - y_i = y_{2i}$. □

Proposition 2 implies that

$$\phi(C)^\perp = \pi(C(h)) = \pi \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\nu} \underbrace{V_i \oplus \dots \oplus V_i}_{y_i+z_i \text{ times}} \right). \quad (3)$$

Since $\ker \phi = C(h)$, we furthermore have

$$\phi(C) \cong C / \ker \phi \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\nu} \underbrace{V_i \oplus \dots \oplus V_i}_{y_i \text{ times}},$$

which leads to

$$\phi(C)^\perp / \phi(C) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\nu} \underbrace{V_i \oplus \dots \oplus V_i}_{z_i \text{ times}}.$$

Taking dimensions we get

$$\dim \phi(C)^\perp / \phi(C) = z_0 + s(p) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} z_i \right). \quad (4)$$

Proposition 3. *With the notations used in Proposition 2 we have*

- a) $x \equiv w \pmod{2}$, if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$,
- b) $x \not\equiv w \pmod{2}$, if $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

Furthermore, if $s(p)$ is even, then

$$x \equiv z_1 \equiv \dots \equiv z_\nu \pmod{2}.$$

Proof. a) and b) follow immediately from (2). The last fact is a consequence of $2y_i + z_i = x$, if $s(p)$ is even, which is stated in Proposition 2. \square

Corollary 1.

- a) $\phi(C)^\perp / \phi(C)$ is of even dimension, if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$,
- b) $\phi(C)^\perp / \phi(C)$ is of odd dimension, if $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

Proof. First note that $s(p) \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} z_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ whatever the parity of $s(p)$ is. In case $s(p)$ odd this follows from $z_i = z_{2i}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, 2t = \nu\}$ (see Proposition 2). Furthermore, $z_0 \equiv x + w \pmod{2}$, hence z_0 even, if $4 \mid n$, and z_0 odd, if $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, according to Proposition 3. Thus (4) yields

$$\dim \phi(C)^\perp / \phi(C) \equiv z_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \text{ if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$$

and

$$\dim \phi(C)^\perp / \phi(C) \equiv z_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \text{ if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}.$$

\square

Corollary 2. *Let $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and let $s(p)$ be even. If w is odd, then*

$$\dim C(h) = \dim \pi(C(h)) \geq \frac{n}{4} + \frac{s(p)\nu}{2} = \frac{n}{4} + \frac{p-1}{2}.$$

In particular, $\phi(C) < \phi(C)^\perp$.

Proof. By Lemma 2, the condition $4 \mid n$ forces that w and x have the same parity. Thus w odd implies that x is odd and by Proposition 2, we get $z_i \geq 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, \nu$. Therefore, according to (4),

$$\dim C(h) = \dim \pi(C(h)) \geq \frac{n}{4} + \frac{s(p)\nu}{2} = \frac{n}{4} + \frac{p-1}{2}.$$

\square

Remark 5. We may ask whether the converse of Corollary 2 holds true; i.e., does $\phi(C) < \phi(C)^\perp$ always implies that w is odd? This is not true. For instance, there exist self-dual [36, 18, 8] codes and automorphisms of order 6 (note that $s_2(3)$ is even) for which $\pi(C(h))$ is not self-dual, but w is even.

Corollary 3. *Let $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and let $s(p)$ be even. If g has an odd number of cycles of order 2, then C is not projective as a $K\langle g \rangle$ -module.*

Proof. If the number of 2-cycles of g is odd, then w is odd. Thus, by Corollary 2 and Theorem 1, the assertion follows. \square

Let us introduce a notation about the structure of the automorphisms.

Definition 2. We say that an automorphism of prime order p of a code is of type $p-(\alpha, \beta)$ if it has α p -cycles and β fixed points. Furthermore an automorphism of order $2p$ is of type $2p-(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; \delta)$ if it has α 2-cycles, β p -cycles, γ $2p$ -cycles and δ fixed points.

Since $\text{Aut}(C) \leq \mathcal{S}_n$, the largest possible prime which may occur as the order of an automorphism of a self-dual code of length n is $p = n - 1$. If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, then $s(p)$ is odd. Obviously, in this case we can not have an automorphism of order $2p$.

Let C be an extremal self-dual code of length $n \geq 48$. According to Theorem 7 in [4] an automorphism of type $p-(\alpha, \beta)$ with $p > 5$ satisfies $\alpha \geq \beta$. Hence the second largest possible prime p satisfies $n = 2p + 2$.

Corollary 4. *Let C be a self-dual code of length $n = 2p + 2$, where p is an odd prime, and minimum distance greater than 4. Suppose that involutions in $\text{Aut}(C)$ are fixed point free. If $s(p)$ is even, then $\text{Aut}(C)$ does not contain an element of order $2p$.*

In case C is doubly even, the condition $s(p)$ even may be replaced by condition $p \not\equiv -1 \pmod{8}$.

Proof. Suppose that g is an automorphism of order $2p$. Thus g has a cycle of length $2p$ and one of length 2. As above let $h = g^p$. By Corollary 2, we get

$$\dim \pi(C(h)) \geq \frac{n}{4} + \frac{p-1}{2} = p.$$

Since $\pi(C(h)) \leq K^{\frac{n}{2}} = K^{p+1}$, we see that $\pi(C(h))$ has minimum distance 1 or 2, a contradiction.

In case that C is doubly even we only have to show that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ does not occur (see Remark 1). If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ then $n = 2p + 2 \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, contradicting the Theorem of Gleason (see [11], Theorem Corollary 9.2.2). \square

Corollary 5. *Let C be an extremal self-dual code of length $n = 24m$. Let $g \in \text{Aut}(C)$ be an element of type $2p-(w, 0, x; 0)$. If $s(p)$ is even and w is odd, then $p \leq \frac{n}{4} - 1$.*

Proof. By Corollary 2, $\pi(C(h))$ has parameters $[12m, \geq 6m + \frac{p-1}{2}, \geq 2m + 2]$. According to the Griesmer bound (see [11], Theorem 2.7.4), we have

$$12m \geq \sum_{i=0}^{6m + \frac{p-1}{2} - 1} \left\lceil \frac{2m + 2}{2^i} \right\rceil \geq (2m + 2) + (m + 1) + (6m + \frac{p-1}{2}) - 2.$$

This implies $p \leq 6m - 1 = \frac{n}{4} - 1$. \square

Clearly, the estimation in Corollary 5 is very crude for m large. For instance, if $m = 5$ the statement in Corollary 5 leads to $p \leq 29$, but computing all terms in the sum shows that even $p \leq 23$.

4 Application to extremal self-dual codes of length 120

From now on C is supposed to be a self-dual $[120, 60, 24]$ code. The following (see [7]) is the state of art about the automorphisms of C .

Automorphisms of odd prime order which may occur in $\text{Aut}(C)$ are of type 29-(4, 4), 23-(5, 5), 19-(6, 6), 7-(17, 1), 5-(24, 0) or 3-(40, 0). Automorphisms of order 2 can only be of type 2-(48, 24) or 2-(60, 0). Automorphisms of possible composite odd order are of type 3·5-(0, 0, 8; 0), 3·19-(2, 0, 2; 0) or 5·23-(1, 0, 1; 0).

Thus we may ask about elements $g \in \text{Aut}(C)$ of order $2p$ where p is an odd prime. Note that the involution $h = g^p$ has no or exactly 24 fixed points, by [5].

Lemma 2. *If the involution h has no fixed points, then g is of type*

- 2 · 29-(2, 0, 2; 0),
- 2 · 19-(3, 0, 3; 0),
- 2 · 5-(0, 0, 12; 0),
- or 2 · 3-(0, 0, 20; 0).

If h has 24 fixed points then g is of type

- 2 · 23-(2, 1, 2; 1),
- or 2 · 3-(0, 8, 16; 0).

Note that $\text{Aut}(C)$ does not contain elements of order $2 \cdot 7$.

Proof. The proof is straightforward by considering the cycle-structures using [7]. \square

The above cycle structures show that only elements of order $2 \cdot 19$ satisfy the hypothesis of Corollary 2. In this case $s(19)$ is even and so we have

$$\dim C(g^{19}) \geq \frac{120}{4} + \frac{19-1}{2} = 39.$$

Thus $\pi_2(C(g^{19}))$ is a $[60, \geq 39, \geq 12]$ code. According to Grassl's list [8] a $[60, \geq 39]$ code has minimum distance at most 10. Therefore we can state the following.

Proposition 4. *The automorphism group of an extremal self-dual $[120, 60, 24]$ code does not contain elements of order 38.*

Next we consider automorphisms of order 58. By Lemma 2, we know that g is of type $2 \cdot 29-(2, 0, 2; 0)$. Therefore g^2 is of type $29-(4, 4)$ and g^{29} is of type $2-(60, 2)$. Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$g^2 = (1, \dots, 29)(30, \dots, 58)(59, \dots, 87)(88, \dots, 116)$$

and

$$g^{29} = (1, 30)(2, 31) \dots (59, 88)(60, 89) \dots (117, 118)(119, 120).$$

If $\pi_{29} : C(g^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^8$ is defined by

$$(v_1, \dots, v_{120}) \mapsto (v_1, v_{30}, v_{59}, v_{88}, v_{117}, v_{118}, v_{119}, v_{120})$$

then $\pi_{29}(C(g^2))$ is a self-dual $[8, 4]$ code according to [10]. and clearly, the minimum distance must be greater or equal to 4 since C is doubly-even. It is well-known that, up to equivalence, the only code with such parameters is the extended Hamming code $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_3$.

According to Lemma 1 the structure of the ambient space K^{120} , viewed as a module for the group $\langle g \rangle$, is as follows:

$$K^{120} = \begin{matrix} K & K & K & K \\ K & K & K & K \end{matrix} \oplus \begin{matrix} V & V \\ V & V \end{matrix}$$

where $\dim V = 28$. Since $C(g^2)$ has dimension 4, the code $C(g) = (C(g^2))(g^{29})$ has dimension at least 2. By calculations we verify that

$$\dim((\pi_{29}^{-1}(A))(g)) \leq 2$$

for every $A \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}_3^{S_8}$, which denotes the set of all self-dual $[8, 4, 4]$ codes. Note that there are only a few computations since $|\hat{\mathcal{H}}_3^{S_8}| = \frac{|S_8|}{|\text{Aut}(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_3)|} = 30$. Thus $\dim C(g) = 2$ and there are only two possible structures for C , namely

$$\text{a) } C = \begin{matrix} K & K \\ K & K \end{matrix} \oplus V \oplus V \text{ or}$$

$$\text{b) } C = \begin{matrix} K & K \\ K & K \end{matrix} \oplus \begin{matrix} V \\ V \end{matrix}.$$

Next we look at $C(g^{29})$ which may be written as $C(g^{29}) = B \otimes \langle (1, 1) \rangle$, where $B = \pi_2(C(g^{29}))$ is a $[60, \geq 30, \geq 12]$ code. In case a) we have $\dim B = 58$, a contradiction. Thus case b) occurs. According to Theorem 1, C is projective and B is a self-dual $[60, 30, 12]$ code. Furthermore B has an automorphism of type 29-(2, 2).

Proposition 5. *Every self-dual $[60, 30, 12]$ code B with an automorphism of type 29-(2, 2) is bordered double-circulant. There are (up to equivalence) three such codes.*

Proof. We can easily determine the submodule of B fixed by the given automorphism and then do an exhaustive search with MAGMA on its complement in K^{60} (following the methods described in [10] and considering the complement as a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{28}}$). In fact, it turns out that B is equivalent to one of the three bordered double-circulant singly-even codes of length 60 classified by Harada, Gulliver and Kaneta in [9]. \square

It is computationally easy to check that there are exactly 14 conjugacy classes of elements of type 29-(2, 2) in $\text{Aut}(B)$ for each of the three possibilities for B .

Using this we are able to do an exhaustive search for C along the methods used in [2]. Without repeating all the details, we just recall the two main steps of the search. First we determine a set, say \mathcal{L} , such that there exists a $t \in \mathcal{S}_{120}$ and $L \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $(C(g^2) + C(g^{29}))^t = L$ and $g^t = g$. It turns out that $|\mathcal{L}| = 42$. In the second step we construct all possible codes C from the knowledge of its socle as in section VI of [2]. By checking the minimum distance we see that in all cases the codes are not extremal which proves the following.

Proposition 6. *The automorphism group of an extremal self-dual $[120, 60, 24]$ code does not contain elements of order 58.*

Acknowledgment. The first author likes to express his gratitude to his supervisors F. Dalla Volta and M. Sala. Both authors are indebted to the Laboratorio di Matematica Industriale e Crittografia at Milano and the Institut für Algebra and Geometrie at Magdeburg for hospitality and excellent working conditions, while this paper has mainly been written.

References

- [1] E. F. Assmuss, H.F. Mattson, *New 5-designs*, J. Combin. Theory 6 (1969) 122–151.
- [2] M. Borello, *The automorphism group of a self-dual $[72, 36, 16]$ binary code does not contain elements of order 6*, to appear IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory.

- [3] S. Bouyuklieva, *A method for constructing self-dual codes with an automorphism of order 2*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 46, No. 2 (2000), 496–504.
- [4] S. Bouyuklieva, A. Malevich and W. Willems, *Automorphisms of extremal codes*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 56 (2010), 2091–2096.
- [5] S. Bouyuklieva, *On the automorphisms of order 2 with fixed points for the extremal self-dual codes of length $24m$* , Des. Codes Cryptogr. 25 (2002) 5–13.
- [6] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, C. Playoust, *The MAGMA algebra system I: The user language*, J. Symbol. Comput. 24 (1997) 235–265.
- [7] J. de la Cruz, *Über die Automorphismengruppe extremaler Codes der Längen 96 und 120*, PhD thesis, Otto-von-Guericke University Magdeburg.
- [8] M. Grassl, *Bounds on the minimum distance of linear codes and quantum codes*, online available at www.codetables.de, accessed on 2012-09-15
- [9] M. Harada, T.A. Gulliver and H. Kaneta, *Classification of extremal double-circulant self-dual codes of length up to 62*, Discrete Mathematics 188 (1998), 127–136.
- [10] W.C. Huffman, *Automorphisms of codes with application to extremal doubly even codes of length 48*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 28 (1982), 511–521.
- [11] W.C. Huffman and V. Pless, *Fundamentals of error-correcting codes*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [12] B. Huppert and N. Blackburn, *Finite Groups II*, Springer 1982.
- [13] C. Martínez-Pérez and W. Willems, *Self-dual codes and modules of finite groups in characteristic two*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 50 (2004), 67–78.
- [14] E.M. Rains, *Shadow bounds for self-dual codes*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 44 (1998), 134–139.
- [15] W. Willems, *A note on self-dual group codes*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 48 (2002), 3107–3109.